CONVERSATION BETWEEN A PEAR AND A PEIGNOIR

"After the leaves have fallen, we return to a plain sense of things."

– W S

I.

Wallace Stevens, struck by the beauty of your language, infused with metaphysical reflections, I wondered.

There are limitations to any perspective—but there's no poetry without one.

Is there anything without perspective—anything at all?

I heard you asking, Wallace Stevens.

I heard the question echo in your large imagination.

II.

The tree itself.

Well—are its leaves green?
And does that bear no relation to me?

We might perform a test: compare the tree-with-me to what it would simply be.

What's the result?

III.

And what you call a branch—the beetle, who has no difficulty

with verticality, climbs its trunk and says, "Tree!"

Now, moving horizontally, feels no difference from what you feel vertically.

IV.

And what of lumber?

Well—no creature would call "lumber" what is, for them, domain of slumber.

Who would dare cut down a tree?

V.

And anyway—why "tree"?

Was the label spoken through the roots, or was it a name tag stuck with sloppy goop?

VI.

But a thing! A thing, indeed! The thing itself!

But now compare a thing to not-a-thing—that is, to a nothing.

I'd be very curious to know how you did it.

Did you compare a gray blob with a knotty hole in the trunk?

You're not alone if you feel stumped.

Well, perhaps through God's eyes, the thing's shown in true color, shape, and size?

Yes, the grandest temptation! The first idea!

And which God do we have in mind?

Zeus, Yahweh, Vishnu, Ra, Odin, Allah, Isis, Thor, Brahma, Inanna, Baal, or Buddha (in his mythic mode), Father of Christ, Marduk, or the Toad whom Jerry witnessed on rain-soaked road.

With each remains that tricky *is* and *seems*, as each partakes of separate dreams, each follower's fiction most supreme—though fiction's surely not their diction.

VIII.

"But then it's all my mind, and not the world!"

Ah yes—that is the classic final try, withdrawal from all that mystifies.

And yet, here we go again...

When you carved things up into mind and world, I'd be curious to know how that all goes.

Were there no labels, no adhesives, no gerrymandered puzzle pieces?

When I point and say "tree", you point and say "image-to-me", and life looks on indifferently.

We've spoken tangentially, veering away from poetry—what of that?

Don't worry about *be* and *seem*—not too much, at least.

The philosophers can take the job of restless nights for us.

Just let the poem stand tall, drinking in the sun, so easy to enjoy: poēsis, poēsis— a thing that's made just as much as a thing that is.

The tree too makes its branches, and clutches the ground with its roots.

X.

I suggest that we step barefoot into the poem—though perhaps this new way of knowing was already known, and I didn't notice, while you hid behind the tree.

I can only guess, since I've yet to meet you, and did not find you waiting.